Practitioner's Docket No.

**PATENT** 



### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

J. PIETRUSZKA

Application No.:

09/652,067

August 31, 2000

Group No.:

2644 Daniel SWERDLOW

Filed: For:

Examiner:

Arrangement for Using a Number of Modifiable Settings

Mail Stop RCE **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

"(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

#### CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

#### MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. EV 595351388 US (mandatory)

#### TRANSMISSION

acsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)

Date: March 17, 2005

Lissette Ramos

(type or print name of person certifying)

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 1 of 6)

OIBEVICAZ MAR 29 2005

00000118 09652067 03/29/2005 CCHAU1

용용 88 (c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999. Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

WARNING: The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50102 (comment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24] Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request can utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 790.00

#### TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE

2. T	his r	egruest is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below):						
i	<b>y</b>	Prior to abandonment of the application						
ii.	Payment of the issue fee							
		Prior to payment of issue fee						
		☐ Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted						
iii.		Prior to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences						
		☐ A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed.						
NOT		such a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing the RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114.						
iv.		Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 145 or ☐ Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 146						
		☐ Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action						
		☐ Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated						
	ENCLOSURES							
3. Er	nclos	ed herewith is/are:						
WAR	NING	If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b).						
	An	information disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)						
		Form PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)						
U	An a	amendment						
	New arguments							
	New evidence in support of patentability							
	Other:							

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 790.00

# FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

<b>4.</b> T	his	apı	plication	is on b	ehal	If of:								
		] §	mall en	tity (and	sta	tus is still	as s	mall ei	ntity)				\$385.00	
												\$\$\$\$	\$790.00	
						FEE FO	OR (	CLAIN	IS					
NOT	E:					ination under						dditional	claims fee	
(cf. 1.53 (d)(3)(ii))." See Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.  37 CFR 1.53(d)(3): "The filing fee for a continued prosecution application filed under this paragraph is										ragraph is:				
				basic filing fee as set forth in § 1.16; and										
		Ai of ar	ny additior any amer ny amendr	nal § 1.16 ndment ac ments und	fee d comp er §	lue based on panying the results of the control of	the nu eques ered in	umber of t for an n the pri	application or applica	n under th	is par	agraph ai	nd entry of	
5. T	he	fee	for clair	ns (37 (	C.F.F	R. § 1.16(b	)-(d))	has t	been cal	culated	as st	nown b	elow:	
		(0	Col. 1)			(Col. 2)	(0	Col. 3)	SMALI	_ ENTITY			THAN A	
		REN A	LAIMS MAINING IFTER NDMENT		P	IGHEST NO. REVIOUSLY PAID FOR		ESENT XTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	
TOTAL		• 1	16	MINUS	**	20	=	0	x\$9=	\$		x\$18=	\$	
INDEP.	,	•	4	MINUS	***	3	=	1	x\$43=	\$		<b>x\$8€</b> =	\$200.00	)
□FIRS	ГР	RESE	NTATION	OF MULT	TIPLE	DEP. CLAIN	Λ		+\$145=	\$		+ \$290 =	: \$	
									TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	200.00	
**	If th If th The	ne "H ne "H e "Hig	lighest No lighest No ghest No.	. Previous . Previous Previously	ly Pa ly Pa Paic	entry in Col.  id for" IN TH  id For" IN TI  I For" (Total  ent or the nu	IIS SP IIS SI or Ind	PACE is PACE is ep.) is t	less than .less than he highes	3, enter ' t number t	<b>'</b> 3."	in the ap	propriate	
WAR	NIN	VG:	See 37 C	.F.R. § 1.	116.									
				(	com	plete (a) o	r (b),	as ap	plicable	)				
(a)		N	o additio	onal fee	is r	equired.								
							OR							
(b)	U	/T	otal add	itional fe	e re	equired is	\$ <u>20</u>	00.00						
					_									

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 4 of 6)

# **EXTENSION OF TIME**

(If an extension of time is appropriate complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

6. Th §	e proceedings herein a 1.136(a) apply.	re for a patent applicatio	n, and the provisions of 37	C.F.R.						
_	to conclude processing or in excess of three months the objection, argument, or other or action was mailed or give shall be reduced by the nurafter the date of mailing or rejection, objection, argument.	examination of an application for at are taken to reply to any notice ther request, measuring such the into the applicant, in which case inber of days, if any, beginning or ir transmission of the Office con ent, or other request and ending and, for reply that is set in the O	have failed to engage in reasonable the cumulative total of any periods to action by the Office making any referementh period from the date the the period of adjustment set forth in the day after the date that is three mmunication notifying the applicant on the date the reply was filed. The Office action or notice has no effect	s of time ejection, e notice § 1.703 months at of the						
(a) l	☐ Applicant petitions 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)	for an extension of time, (1)-(4), for the total numb	the fees for which are set er of months checked below	out in w:						
E	xtension for	Fee for other than	Fee for							
	(months)	small entity	small entity							
	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00							
	two months	\$ 420.00	\$ 210.00							
	three months	\$ 950.00	\$ 475.00							
Ц	four months	\$ 1,480.00	\$ 740.00							
	Fee: \$									
If an	additional extension of	time is required, please	consider this a petition there	efor.						
		complete the next item,								
	An extension for months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.									
Extension fee due with this request \$										
		OR								
(b) [i	(b) Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this is a conditional petition and authorization to pay the necessary fees to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.									
TOTAL FEE(S) DUE										
WARNING: The fee for continued examination under § 1.114 may not be deferred. 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(f).										
	total fee(s) due is/are:		•	•						
	ontinued Prosecution Fo	ee (§ 1.17(e))	<b>\$</b> _790.	00						
		• • •	\$ <u></u>	_						
Fee(s) for additional claims (if any) (§ 1.16(b)-(d)) \$ 200.  Extension of time fee (if any) (§ 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) \$										
L			\$	00						
			otal Fee(s) Due \$990.							
	(Request for	Continued Examination (RCE) (3	37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]page 5	of 6)						

# PAYMENT OF FEE(S) DUE

8. Please	e pay the fee(s) for this continue	ed examination application as follo	ows:					
<b>□</b> ⁄	Check is attached for the sum	of	\$ 990.00					
Q	Charge Account23-0442_	the sum of	<pre>\$ DEFICIENCIES</pre>	ONLY				
	Charge Credit Card the sum o	f	\$					
	(Credit Card Payment Form (P	TO-2038) attached)						
Please § 1.17(a)(1	• •	nal fee(s) for § 1.17(e), § 1.16(	(b)-(d) and/or					
Account								
	Credit Card (Credit Card Paym	nent Form (PTO-2038) attached).						
	INVE	NTORSHIP						
	ny change of inventors must be via the 0, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.	procedure set forth in 37 CFR § 1.48. See	Notice of March					
9. This a	pplication as amended names a	as inventors:						
回	the same inventors as previous	sly designated for the claims.						
	fewer than the inventors previously designated ans a statement accompanies this request for the deletion of the name or names of the person or persons who are not inventors of the invention now being claimed.							
	DEFERRAL C	F EXAMINATION						
	A request for deferral of examination.	nation accompanies this request	for continued					
Reg. No.:	27,550	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER Alfred A. Fressola						
Tel. No.: (	203 ) 261–1234	Ware, Fressola, Van Der S (type or print name of practitioner) Bradford Green, Building P.O. Box 224, 755 Main St	5	ı LLP				
Customer	No.: <sub>004955</sub>	P.O. Address Monroe, CT 06468-0224						

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 6 of 6)